

Quinn Aerospace

Abbreviated Business Model

What is a Pressure Foil?

- A Pressure Foil is a rotating thrust-producing apparatus that uses a unique method of force production as described in U.S. patent 5,328,333.
- The force generated via this method can be used to propel cars, ships, trains, and aircraft.

U.S. Patent 5,328,333 Abstract

Rotating thrust-producing apparatus

Abstract -- A circular foil connectable to a source of power for producing thrust in an axial direction when rotated, includes a flat circular plate and a plurality of closely spaced-apart fins fixed to an upper face of the circular plate, which occupy substantially the entire peripheral portion of the plate. A cylindrical hoop is fixed to the plate adjacent to radially inwardly extending edges of the fins to prevent fluid disposed adjacent to the circular plate and radially inwardly of the fins, from flowing radially outwardly and into the space between the fins as the circular foil is rotated. The foil is rotated at a sufficient speed to discharge fluid molecules from spaces between the fins at a rate faster than they can be replenished. This creates an imbalance of fluid pressure on the first side of the foil relative to an opposite second side thereof. As a result the circular foil moves in the direction of the deficit.

U.S. Patent 5,328,333

Jul. 12, 1994

** ** * ~ ~ ~		C3003320333A		
United States Patent	[19]	[11]	Patent Number:	5,328,333

[57]

Quinn

[54] ROTATING THRUST-PRODUCING APPARATUS

[76]	Inventor:	Steven P. Quinn, 1198 Navigator Dr.,		
		#53, Ventura, Calif. 93001		

- [21] Appl. No.: 22,507
- [22] Filed: Feb. 25, 1993

[56] References Cited

-		
95,292	10/1869	Walsh 416/193
1,374,256	4/1921	Van Asperen .
1,786,017	12/1930	Matta .
1,850,993	3/1932	Chester .
1,971,820	8/1934	Jackson .
2,176,542	10/1939	Nicholson .
2,432,775	12/1947	Lennon .
2.659.178	11/1953	Van Hartesveldt .
2,922,277	1/1960	Bertin .
2,990,137	6/1961	Willis .
3,124,200	3/1964	Wilson .
3,181,811	5/1965	Maksim, Jr.
3,182,929	5/1965	Lemberger .
3,274,410	9/1966	Boivie .
3,278,115	10/1966	White et al

3,359,908 12/1967 Toma . 3,824,028 7/1974 Zenkner et al. . 4,047,832 9/1977 Sforza . 4,419,049 12/1983 Gerboth et al. . 4,566,699 1/1986 Cucuzza . 4,666,373 5/1987 Sugiura .

[45] Date of Patent:

Primary Examiner—Edward K. Look Assistant Examiner—Mark Sgantzos Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Kelly, Bauersfeld & Lowry

ABSTRACT

A circular foil connectable to a source of power for producing thrust in an axial direction when rotated, includes a flat circular plate and a plurality of closely spaced-apart fins fixed to an upper face of the circular plate, which occupy substantially the entire peripheral portion of the plate. A cylindrical hoop is fixed to the plate adjacent to radially inwardly extending edges of the fins to prevent fluid disposed adjacent to the circular plate and radially inwardly of the fins, from flowing radially outwardly and into the space between the fins as the circular foil is rotated. The foil is rotated at a sufficient speed to discharge fluid molecules from spaces between the fins at a rate faster than they can be replenished. This creates an imbalance of fluid pressure on the first side of the foil relative to an opposite second side thereof. As a result, the circular foil moves in the direction of the deficit.

13 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet



Pressure Foil – Front (fig. A)



Pressure Foil – Back (fig. B)



Why is this method better?

- More fuel efficient than jet or rocket propulsion
- No propeller slippage (propeller aircraft)
- No retreating blade stall (helicopters)
- Direct propulsion (automobiles)
- Smaller diameter rotor

More Fuel Efficient than Jet or Rocket Propulsion

- The Thrust Specific Energy Consumption (TSEC in kJ/kN-s) for typical jet and rocket thrusters is 946 and 29300, respectively.
- The Thrust Specific

 Energy Consumption (TSEC in kJ/kN-s) for a typical pressure-foil thruster is 148. This means that pressure-foil propulsion is about 7 times more fuel efficient than jets, and about 200 times more fuel efficient than rockets.

No Propeller Slippage

- Conventional propellers begin to slip through the air at a certain rotational speed thus losing thrust on the propeller blades beyond a limiting RPM.
- Pressure Foils do not have this limitation because rarefaction of the air is the goal. That is, the Pressure Foil relies on evacuation of air to create force, not on the pushing of air to create reaction force as in conventional propellers.

No Retreating Blade Stall

- Retreating Blade Stall occurs on all helicopters at a certain forward speed of the helicopter that matches the backward speed of the retreating blade. This causes the retreating blade to stall and lose lift.
- The Pressure Foil has no such limitation because the lift is equal around the entire periphery regardless of the forward air speed.

Direct Propulsion

- All automobiles rely on tires pushing against the road to provide force. In rain, mud, snow, and ice this is not the best method to propel a car forward because of poor traction.
- The Pressure Foil pushes the car directly, similar to pushing an aircraft, thus eliminating the need for transmission systems.

Smaller Rotor Diameter

- Standard air propellers, especially helicopter rotors, demand a large radius to produce the thrust needed.
- Pressure Foils require substantially less rotor diameter to perform the same job.

How Does It Work?

- The following computational fluid dynamics (CFD) illustration, figure C, demonstrates how a spinning Pressure Foil moves through the air.
- At the beginning of the CFD simulation, the grey pressure foiling disc (shown in cross section in figure C) was in the center of the red air cloud, then moved to the left after the simulated disc began spinning.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (fig. C)



What Causes This Movement?

- As the disc spins it deflects air outward away from the inner portion of the disc. This causes a partial vacuum in between the fins.
- A net force is generated because the pressure on the right side of the disc (fig. C) remains at atmospheric pressure while the left side is lower than atmospheric pressure.
- This causes the disc to move to the left through the air from the force generated by the net pressure difference.

Baseball Railcar Analogy

- Imagine two railroad tracks, track A (conventional track) and track B (pressure foil track), running north and south. Both tracks have a flatbed car resting on them. The tracks and wheels are frictionless.
- Each car also has a wall erected in the middle of the car running east and west. There are two baseball pitchers standing on the tracks throwing baseballs at either side of each wall at a rate of one baseball every five seconds. These baseballs striking the walls keep the cars motionless on the track because their effects are cancelling.
- The goal for two groups of thinkers is to move the railroad car northward.

Baseball Railcar (cont.)

- The baseballs represent the millions of trillions of air molecules that strike the surface in a given time frame.
- The walls represent the reaction surface of any object that needs to be moved.
- The pitchers represent the energy needed to move the air molecules created by the Sun's radiation.

Conventional Perspective

- The conventional group decides that the only way to move the car north is to hire another pitcher to throw from south to north, doubling the number of balls hitting the south face of the wall and thus overcoming the effect of the pitcher throwing from north to south, thus causing the car to move northward.
- (The extra hired pitcher symbolizes rockets, jets, or conventional propellers)

Pressure Foil Perspective

- The Pressure Foil group decides that if they hired a batter to stand on the railroad car, on the north side of the wall, to just slightly deflect (foul bunt) away the balls thrown from the north to the south so that the balls just missed the wall, the car would begin to move northward.
- The hired batter symbolizes the pressure foil method of generating force.
- There would be no need for another pitcher and no need to double the balls striking the southern face of the wall. In this way less effort is required to move the car northward.

Analogy Applied

- Deflect those air molecules away from striking one side of a surface while leaving the other side at normal atmospheric pressure.
- Use natural force already present in still air to generate useful force.

Graph – Force vs. RPM (9 inch diameter PF)



Accomplishments to Date

- August 2005 -- Produced 22 newtons of thrust using a small electric motor attached to a 232 mm pressure foil.
- September 2007 Pushed 85 kg man on a 100 kg test vehicle (185 kg total) to a speed of about 5 knots using a 914 mm pressure-foil.
- August 2018 -- Produced 9 newtons of thrust per kilowatt input using an electric motor attached to a 584 mm pressure foil.

Current Problem Areas

- Need to continue to increase efficiency of Pressure Foil to maximize thrust and minimize drag by varying these elements—fin width, fin height, fin spacing, fin depth, rotor diameter, rotational speed, number of fins, material, surfacing, and angle of fins.
- Lack of funds for serious research and development.

Summary

- Completely new system of propulsion.
- Uses evacuation of air from within slots by high speed rotation to generate force.
- Method patented in United States in 1994.
- Working models already created.
- Need funds for serious research and development at a more sophisticated level.